UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI

ARC CONTROLS, INC

VERSUS Case No.: 1:19-cv-00391-LG-RHW

M/V NOR GOLIATH., *In Rem*, and GOLIATH OFFSHORE HOLDINGS

FRCP 9(h) In Admiralty

PTE. LTD, In Personam

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

COMES NOW, Plaintiff-Intervenor Oceanwide Cyprus, Ltd. ("Oceanwide") and files this Memorandum in Support of its Motion for Summary Judgment, per L.U.Civ.R. 7(b). As shown herein, there is no genuine issue of material fact, and judgment in favor of Oceanwide is due to be granted as a matter of law. Fed.R.Civ.P. 56(a).

SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT

Pursuant to the general maritime law and the Federal Maritime Lien Act, 46 U.S.C. §§ 31301, *et seq.*, Oceanwide has one or more maritime liens against the M/V NOR GOLIATH to secure its claim of \$218,108.95, plus interest, attorney's fees, and collection expenses. Oceanwide advanced the wages of seamen who worked aboard the NOR GOLIATH during the period of May 1, 2019 through July 22, 2019. As such, Oceanwide is entitled to a maritime lien of the same rank as those seamen.¹ This court has accepted a counter-security bond in the amount of \$3,921,000 to cover all remaining claims against the NOR GOLIATH, including the claims of Oceanwide.

¹ The Court should note, however, that the bond posted to secure the release of the NOR GOLIATH is sufficient to cover the claims of all claimants herein. *See* Doc. 187 (Order approving bond); Doc. 183-1, at p. 2 fn. 1 (listing amounts sought by each claimant herein). Accordingly, it will not be necessary to "rank" liens, as there is sufficient security to pay same from bond/security posted.

Oceanwide has unquestionably provided the NOR GOLIATH with the services described in its Complaint, and Oceanwide possesses a maritime lien against the NOR GOLIATH. There being no dispute of material facts as to these salient points, Oceanwide is entitled to summary judgment and an award against the *in rem* Defendant – paid from the referenced bond – in the amount of \$218,108.95.²

NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF UNDISPUTED FACTS

At the request of Epic Companies, LLC ("EPIC"), the owner or charterer of the NOR GOLIATH or its authorized agent, Oceanwide provided necessary crew to the NOR GOLIATH during the period of May 1, 2019 through July 22, 2019. *See* Declaration of Theo Nieboer, at ¶¶ 3-4.³ During that time, the crewmen earned wages for their service. Oceanwide paid the wages of each crewmember for their work on the NOR GOLIATH during the above-referenced timeframe. *Id.*, at ¶ 5. Copies of Oceanwide's invoices, in the total principle amount of \$218,108.95, are attached to Mr. Nieboer's Declaration. *Id.*, at ¶¶ 5-6.

The services provided by Oceanwide to the NOR GOLIATH were necessary for the operations of the vessel in its ordinary trade, and have a fair market value in the total principle amount of \$218,108.95, none of which has been paid despite demand therefore. *Id.*, at ¶ 4.

ARGUMENT

1. Summary Judgment Standard

Summary judgment "should be rendered if the pleadings, the discovery and disclosure materials on file, and any affidavits show that there is no genuine dispute as to any material fact

² Oceanwide reserves the right to file a supplemental brief and evidentiary materials demonstrating the amount of these damages – in excess of its principle claim of \$218,108.95 – if and when its Motion for Summary Judgment is granted.

³ The Declaration of Theo Nieboer was submitted with Oceanwide's Motion for Summary Judgment. *See* Doc. 207-1.

and that the movant is entitled to judgment as a matter of law." *Smithy v. Kroger Co.*, 2018 WL 3384305, at *1 (N.D. Miss. July 11, 2018) (*quoting Celotex Corp. v. Catrett*, 477 U.S. 317, 322, (1986), Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a)). The moving party bears the burden of showing there is no genuine issue of material fact. *Celotex*, 477 U.S. at 325.

Once the moving party carries its burden, the nonmovant must present evidence that there is a genuine issue of fact for trial. *Id.* at 323-24. The Court "resolve[s] factual controversies in favor of the nonmoving party, but only where there is an actual controversy, that is, when both parties have submitted evidence of contradictory facts." *Smithy*, at *1 (*quoting Antoine v. First Student, Inc.*, 713 F.3d 824, 830 (5th Cir. 2013)). "[T]he nonmoving party cannot defeat summary judgment with conclusory allegations, unsubstantiated assertions, or only a scintilla of evidence." *Smithy*, at *1 (internal citations omitted).

2. Oceanwide's has a Priority Lien Against the NOR GOLIATH

The cases and authorities definitely establish that "seamen's wage liens are especially favored and are found in the first class of order." *Fredelos v. Merritt-Chapman & Scott Corp.*, 447 F.2d 435, 439 (5th Cir. 1971) (internal citations omitted). Furthermore, one who advances funds used to discharge such a lien can bring an *in rem* claim against the vessel for those wages. *See, e.g., Medina v. Marvirazon Compania Naviera, S.A.*, 709 F.2d 124, 125 (1st Cir.1983) (citing *Sasportes v. M/V Sol de Copacabana*, 581 F.2d 1204, 1207 (5th Cir.1978); G. Gilmore & C. Black, The Law of Admiralty §§ 9–20, 9–21 (2d ed. 1975) and 2 Benedict on Admiralty §§ 32, 33 (7th ed. 1982)). Those who advance money to pay crew's wages are entitled to a maritime lien of the same rank. *In re Good Ship Appledore, Ltd.*, 122 B.R. 821, 829 (Bankr. D. Me. 1990); *Medina v. Marvirazon Compania Naviera, S.A.*, 533 F.Supp. 1279, 1286 (D.Mass.1982), *Aff'd* 709 F.2d 124 (1st Cir.1983).

It is undisputed that Oceanwide provided the NOR GOLIATH with necessary crew during the period of May 1, 2019 through July 22, 2019, and that Oceanwide advanced the wages of those crewmen. Oceanwide has provided specific wage totals for each individual crewman and has shown affirmatively that the funds were paid to these crewmen as wages for their service on the NOR GOLIATH during the above-referenced time period. *See* Declaration of Theo Nieboer (Doc. 207-1). Oceanwide has demonstrated that neither the NOR GOLIATH nor any of its owners, agents, or representatives have satisfied Oceanwide's claim for the advancement of the crewmen's wages. *Id.* Accordingly, Oceanwide has made a prima facie showing to substantiate the claims outlined in its Amended Complaint in Intervention against the NOR GOLIATH. *See* Doc. 172. As such, Oceanwide is entitled to summary judgment as to its claims against the NOR GOLIATH and to be awarded damages in the amount of \$218,108.95 plus interest, costs, and attorney's fees.

CONCLUSION

WHEREFORE, based on the foregoing arguments and authorities, Plaintiff-Intervener Oceanwide Cyprus, Ltd.'s Motion for Summary Judgment is due to be granted and Oceanwide Cyprus, Ltd. should be awarded damages in the amount of \$218,108.95 plus interest, costs and attorney's fees.⁴

Done this the 31st day of January, 2020.

Respectfully submitted,

s/John P. Kavanagh, Jr.
John P. Kavanagh, Jr.
MS Bar #9499
E-mail:jkavanagh@burr.com
Attorney for Plaintiff-Intervenor
Oceanwide Cyprus, Ltd.

OF COUNSEL:

⁴ Oceanwide reserves the right and ability to submit further evidentiary materials to demonstrate the amount of interest, costs and attorney's fees it may recover in the event the instant motion is granted.

Burr & Forman LLP RSA Tower 11 North Water Street Suite 22200 Mobile, Alabama 36602

Telephone: (251) 344-5151 Facsimile: (251) 344-9696

New Orleans, LA 70139

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 31st day of January, 2020, I have served a copy of the foregoing documents by electronically filing same with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Herman F. Cox, Jr.

Evan N. Parrott

James G. Wyly, III

Drury Sumner Holland

PHELPS DUNBAR, LLP - Gulfport

MAYNARD, COOPER & GALE, PC
Mobile

11 North Water Street, Suite 24290

Mobile, AL 36602

James G. Wyly, III

Drury Sumner Holland

PHELPS DUNBAR, LLP - Gulfport

2602 13th Street, Suite 300

Gulfport, MS 39501

Gerard J. Dragna
Andre J. Mouledoux
Trevor M. Cutaiar
MOULEDOUX, BLAND, LEGRAND, & 1300 25th Avenue, Suite 204 (39501)
BRACKETT, LLC
Gulfport, MS 39502-4248

George Robert Parrott, II

Matthew Charles Guy

ADAMS AND REESE, LLP - New Orleans
One Shell Square

701 Poydras Street, Suite 4500
New Orleans, LA 70139

James E. Rogers
Scott D. Marrs
Brian T. Bagley
AKERMAN, LLP
1300 Post Oak Blvd., Suite 2500
Houston, TX 77056

Lee Morgan Peacocke
Joseph P. Tynan
Susan G. Keller-Garcia
LEWIS, BRISBOIS, BISGAARD & SMITH,
LLP - New Orleans
400 Poydras Street - Suite 1300
New Orleans, LA 70130
Michael J. Thompson, Jr.
THOMPSON LAW OFFICE, PLLC Gulfport
P. O. Box 280
Gulfport, MS 39502

Ronald J. Kitto
Terrence Kent Knister
GORDON, ARATA, MONTGOMERY,
BARNETT, MCCOLLAM, DUPLANTIS &
EAGAN, LLC
201 St. Charles Ave., Suite 4000
New Orleans, LA 70170-4000

Tristan Russell Armer HEIDELBERG, STEINBERGER, COLMER & BURROW, PA-Pascagoula P.O. Box 1407 711 Delmas (39568) Pascagoula, MS 39568-1407

Scott D. Stevens STARNES DAVIS FLORIE, LLP - Mobile P. O. Box 1548 11 N. Water St., Suite 2090 (36602) Mobile, AL 36633-1548

Conor T. Lutkewitte
Thomas J. Lutkewitte
FAVRET, DEMAREST, RUSSO,
LUTKEWITTE & SCHAUMBURG
1515 Poydras St., Suite 1400
New Orleans, LA 70112

Joseph E. Lee, III
Miles P. Clements
Zachary J. Ardoin
PHELPS DUNBAR, LLP - New Orleans
365 Canal Street, Suite 2000
New Orleans, LA 70130-6534

Richard P. Salloum FRANKE & SALLOUM, PLLC P. O. Drawer 460 10071 Lorraine Road (39503) Gulfport, MS 39502

Samuel D. Gregory BAKER, DONELSON, BEARMAN, CALDWELL & BERKOWITZ, PC - Jackson One Eastover Center 100 Vision Drive, Suite 400 P. O. Box 14167 (39236-4167) Jackson, MS 39211

Kristina Crosswell Johnson JONES WALKER, LLP - Jackson 190 East Capitol St., Suite 800 (39201) P. O. Box 427 Jackson, MS 39205-0427

s/John P. Kavanagh, Jr.
OF COUNSEL